IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NATIONAL VETERANS LEGAL SERVICES PROGRAM, et al., Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.

Case No. 16-745-ESH

PLAINTIFFS' SUR-REPLY IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS

The government's reply makes two new points that warrant a short response. First, the government complains (at 6-7) that we have not responded to its statement of facts. See Local Civ. R. 7(h)(1). Accordingly, we are filing the attached statement, making clear that we do not dispute the government's six factual assertions—all of them legally irrelevant. Second, the government incorrectly contends (at 5) that we "have not alleged a statutory remedy that supports an illegal ex[action claim." We allege precisely that in our complaint, and the government offers no coherent response. See Compl. (Dkt. 1) at 14 ¶¶ 33-34; see also Class Cert Mot. (Dkt. 8) at 9-11.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Deepak Gupta DEEPAK GUPTA JONATHAN E. TAYLOR **GUPTA WESSLER PLLC** 1735 20th Street, NW Washington, DC 20009

Phone: (202) 888-1741

deepak@guptawessler.com, jon@guptawessler.com

WILLIAM H. NARWOLD MOTLEY RICE LLC 3333 K Street NW, Suite 450 Washington, DC 20007 Phone: (202) 232-5504 bnarwold@motleyrice.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs

August 17, 2016

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 17, 2016, I filed the foregoing sur-reply through this Court's CM/ECF system, and that all parties required to be served have been thereby served.

/s/ Deepak Gupta
Deepak Gupta